



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,946	04/16/2001	KRISTOFER E. ELBING	KEE-0014	7924	
26653	7590 11/23/2004		EXAM	INER	
KRISTOFER E. ELBING 187 PELHAM ISLAND ROAD WAYLAND, MA 01778			SAX, STEVEN PAUL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2174		
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/835,946	ELBING ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
e Mil		Steven P Sax	2174			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sreply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rn. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirderiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	14 June 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 22-28,30 and 35-39 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 22-28,30 and 35-39 is/are rejecte Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	on Papers					
=	The specification is objected to by the Exar					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the		· · ·			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) <u>□</u> a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	`'					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/835,946 Page 1

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined. The Amendment and Terminal Disclaimer filed 6/14/04 have been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22-26, 28, 30, 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg et al (5880714) and Braun et al (6300936).
- 4. Regarding claim 22, see in Rosenberg et al the computer system with processor and operating system and display (Figure 1, 2A, column 3 lines 10-20), the pointing device with position sensor having an output line and tactile actuator having an input line (column 3 lines 22-32, column 4 lines 25-46), wherein the input line is responsive to the pointing device driver which is in turn responsive to the output line (column 4 lines 40-55, column 6 lines 40-50), an application responsive to the pointing device driver and operating system and communicating with the display, and wherein the driver is

Art Unit: 2174

responsive to a general purpose application (column 5 lines 1-22 and 33-42, abstract). Rosenberg et al do not go into the details of plural applications, with a plurality of application specific profile elements for the applications that define tactile signals to the actuator when interacting with the corresponding applications, or the configuration module to present pointing device configuration controls including controls for accessing application specific profile elements, but do show the application responsive to the driver and defining tactile signals to an actuator application for efficient user feedback (aforecited, also column 8 lines 1-11). Furthermore, Braun et al show the plurality of applications, and with the specific profile elements for applications that define tactile signals to the actuator when interacting with the corresponding applications (Figures 5. 9, 12, column 3 lines 30-59, column 4 lines 20-32, column 6 lines 29-40, column 8 lines 10-22 and 35-43), as well as the configuration module to present pointing device configuration controls including controls for accessing application specific profile elements (column 9 lines 5-25, column 12 lines 45-65, column 16 lines 35-48, column 19 lines 27-31, column 20 lines 5-12), for efficient user feedback. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have the plurality of applications, and with the specific profile elements for applications that define tactile signals to the actuator when interacting with the corresponding applications, as well as the configuration module to present pointing device configuration controls including controls for accessing application specific profile elements, in Rosenberg et al because it would provide efficient user feedback.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,946 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

5. Regarding claim 23, 36, and 37, the configuration module of Braun et al includes controls allowing the user to select between default and user specified tactile signals (column 17 lines 30-40, column 18 lines 60-67), which are used for generating the tactile signal in response to the actuation command.

- 6. Regarding claims 24 and 38, the application specific profile elements in Braun et al are based on a regularly spaced Cartesian grid (column 27 lines 5-15,).
- 7. Regarding claims 25-26 and 39, some of the profile elements in Braun et al are based on cells containing a single alphanumeric character (the mapping to a numeric value column 9 lines 25-39, the pixel mapping column 44 lines 14-30).
- 8. Regarding claim 28, the application specific profile elements in Braun et al correspond to classes of the applications (column 20 lines 7-22).
- 9. Regarding claim 30, Braun et al show the profile elements are provided with special purpose applications (column 19 lines 25-39).
- 10. Regarding claim 35, Braun et al show accessing the profile elements in response to user actuation of configuration controls (column 19 lines 25-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/835,946 Page 4

Art Unit: 2174

11. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim ends without finishing recitation of the last step (",

- and).
- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note though that the double patenting rejection has been removed in view of the Terminal Disclaimer.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P Sax whose telephone number is 571-272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,946

Art Unit: 2174

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Széven sax Pzimary examiner

